

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CEDRIC BOYKIN,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 23-cr-14

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S  
REQUEST FOR EARLY TERMINATION  
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Cedric Boykin's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release. Dkt. No. 5. Plaintiff United States of America opposes Defendant's request. Dkt. No. 10.

The Court may "after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)] . . . terminate a term of supervised release and discharge the defendant released at any time after the expiration of one year of supervised release . . . if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant released and the interest of justice." 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1). The Court enjoys "discretion to consider a wide range of circumstances when determining whether to grant early termination." *United States v. Emmett*, 749 F.3d 817, 819 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing *United States v. Pregent*, 190 F.3d 279, 283 (4th Cir. 1999)).

1 Defendant has performed well under supervision and his progress, including stable  
2 employment, housing, and successful completion of a sexual deviancy assessment with no  
3 treatment recommended, is to be commended. But given the nature of his offense and that he has  
4 served only a quarter of his five-year term of supervised release, the Court finds that Defendant's  
5 request is premature and that the support and structure provided to Defendant by the Probation  
6 Office should remain in place.

7 Accordingly, the Court DENIES Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of  
8 Supervised Release.

9 Dated this 22nd day of June, 2023.

10 

11 \_\_\_\_\_  
12 Jamal N. Whitehead  
13 United States District Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24